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Overview

• Location and imaging

• Who should be treated?

• The clip vs coil debate

• The tools of the trade: Evolution of endovascular technologies
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The many faces of a brain aneurysm
Risk Factors for Aneurysm Growth

• **Smoking!!!**
  – #1 risk for development and rupture

• Family History
  – 17X increase rupture risk in small aneurysms (Stroke June 2009)
  – Don’t blame it on your loved one—not AD
  – Get an MRA/CTA if a first degree relative

• Bad Luck
  – 80% of aneurysm patients have neither of the above
  – Born with artery weakness
Warning signs of a brain aneurysm

- Headache
  - Sign of rupture

- MOST headaches not due to aneurysms
- Worst headache of life
  - “Hit by a baseball bat”
  - “Car ran over my head”
  - “Head was in a vice clamp”
Additional warning signs

• Stroke signs
  – Numbness
  – Weakness

• Visual Changes
  – Double vision
  – Drooping eyelid
What to do next??

• If ruptured get treated immediately

• If not ask the magic 8 ball

- As I see it, yes
- It is certain
- It is decidedly so
- Most likely
- Outlook good
- Signs point to yes
- Without a doubt
- Yes
- Yes - definitely
- You may rely on it
- Reply hazy, try again
- Ask again later
- Better not tell you now
- Cannot predict now
- Concentrate and ask again
- Don't count on it
- My reply is no
- My sources say no
- Outlook not so good
- Very doubtful
ISUIA data
12/10/1998 NEJM

• H/o SAH from another aneurysm
  – 11X increase risk between group 1 and 2 for <10mm

• Size (no h/o SAH)
  – <10mm 0.05%/year risk of rupture
  – >10mm 1%/yr
  – >25mm 6%/year

• Location (no h/o SAH)
  – Basilar apex/VBJ 13.6 RR
  – Pcom 8.0 RR

• Surgical outcomes very poor
  – 17% risk of death or disability at 30 days periop
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1. Size and Location of Ruptured Aneurysms*</th>
</tr>
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65 yo woman HH 4 SAH
ISUIA revised (Lancet Jul 2003)

• 5 yr cumulative risk of rupture
  – <7mm: 0 vs 2.5%
  – 7-12 mm: 2.6 vs 14.5%
  – 13-25 mm: 14.5 vs 18.4%
  – >25mm: 40 vs 50%

• Surgery vs. Endovascular
  – 30 day risk: 14/11% surg vs 9/7% endovasc
  – Older patients did much worse with surgery
  – Risk of re-hemorrhage higher with endovasc
  – MUST BE BETTER THAN NATURAL HISTORY!
What would you do if it was your loved one?

- **Age**  
  - The older you are the sooner something else may take your life

- **Aneurysm size**  
  - Bigger is not always better  
  - Size ratio may be better predictor (Stroke 5/10)

- **Location**  
  - Posterior circulation is worse

- **Aneurysm shape**

- **Presence of risk factors**  
  - **SMOKING**  
    - RR 3.0 in Finnish data JNS 2000  
  - Family history of rupture
Treatment options

• Observation
  – Sometimes it is safer to do nothing
• Coils vs. Clips debate
ISAT trial Lancet 2002

• 1<sup>st</sup> Level 1 evidence in favor of endovascular treatment in SAH patients
  – Biased in that 6000 pts excluded due to lack of equipose
    • MCA were clipped; Basilar coiled
    • A trial of pcom and acom treatments
  – Primary outcome mRs3-6: 22% with endovasc vs 31% with surgery
  – Rebleeding risk higher with endovascular (2 per 1276 pt years vs 0 per 1081)
ISAT update: 5 yr results (Lancet Neurology 2009)

• Risk of rebleed (n=13)
  – 10 endovascular vs 3 surgical (p=0.06)

• Primary outcome mRS 0-2 no longer significant
  – Endovascular 83% vs neurosurgical 82%

• Risk of death significantly different
  – 11% endovascular and 14% neurosurgical (p=0.03)
SAH decision making: 67 yo HH3 with right ICA occlusion = “3-headed” monster
57 yo woman HH grade 3
Dec 2008: Clips and/or coils
Endovascular options

• Coils are the key
• Many newer tools
  – Stents
  – “Glue”
Role of complex shaped coils

- Increased packing density
  - Prospective trial to begin here
- Frame wide neck aneurysm
Role of complex shaped coils: CCF with wide neck with ICH
Traumatic CCF with tri-lobed appearance and CVD
Stent-assisted embolization for wide neck aneurysms

- Barrow experience (n=61, Nsurg Jun 2005)
  - Initial results
    - Complete/near complete (>95%) occlusion in 28 patients (45.9%)
    - Partial occlusion (<95%) in 33 patients (54%)
  - Risk of treatment
    - Perioperative morbidity 7% mortality 2%
    - Delayed instent stenosis 1-5%
  - 6 mo results
    - 52% progressive thrombosis
    - 25% no change
    - 23% recanalization
60 yo woman breast ca survivor
Onyx HD500=Aneurysm liquid embolic

• Initial results: Molyneux AJNR 2004
  – 12 mo f/u: Complete occlusion in 56 (79%); subtotal 9 (13%); incomplete 6 (8%)
  – Morbidity 8/97 pts; Mortality 2/97 pts
  – Parent vessel occlusion in 9/97 pts

• Learning curve results (JNS 2009 Sao Paulo, n=69 pts 84 aneurysms)
  – Complete occlusion 74%/95%/95.2% for small aneurysms and 53%/70%/80% for large aneurysms
  – Recanalization was observed in 3 patients (4.6%)
    • Procedural mortality 3% morbidity 7%
    • Retreatment in 2 patients (3.3%)
Onyx HD 500:75 yo woman giant cavernous aneurysm with 4\textsuperscript{th} nerve palsy
Onyx: Aneurysm “glue”
60 yo woman 2 sisters SAH w/3 aneurysms
Introduction of flow “divertors”

- Pipeline stent construct
  - 3-5 fold increase in surface coverage
  - 85% flow reduction within aneurysm
  - Extremely flexible for tortuous anatomy

- Buenos Aires experience (Nsurg 2009)
  - Small 33 Large 22 Giant 8
  - Complete occlusion in 17/18 f/u 12 mos.
  - No recanalization
  - No significant morbidity/mortality
    - 3 pts w/giant an had transient mass effect symptoms
30 yo woman HH1 SAH Dec 2009
2/10 Placed 5 coils and 3 more stents

4/10 f/u
F/u 7/2010 shows complete occlusion
Aneurysm recurrence after coiling

• Incidence
  – Range of 5-25% depending on source
  – Risk factors: larger aneurysm, younger pt, bifurcation an., ruptured status, packing density

• Risk of retreatment low (Nsurg 9/09)
  – Retreatment mortality 0.96% per patient
  – Permanent/temporary major disability 0.32%
  – Permanent minor disability 1.29%
  – Total risk for death or permanent major disability 1.28%
Controversial role of bioactive coils

- Turkish experience w/Cerecyte (JNS 4/10)
  - Raymond Class I obliteration on follow-up angiograms (69 [86.2%] Cerecyte group vs 51 [63.8%] control group, p = 0.002)
  - Further thrombosis to Raymond Class I occlusions was higher in the Cerecyte group (17 [77.3%] of 22 vs 8 [36.4%] of 22 aneurysms)
  - Similar results in multiple retrospective studies
  - Prospective study results coming soon
Clip Ligation

• Still need in approximately 30-40% of aneurysms treated
56 yo woman heavy smoker
HH3 SAH
Use of Intraoperative angio